1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 LEE ANDREW REED, 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-04-0936 LKK GGH P 12 VS. 13 S. HAFERKAMP, et al., 14 Defendants. ORDER 15 16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action seeking 17 relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge 18 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. 19 On August 18, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations 20 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any 21 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Plaintiff 22 has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-24 304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire 25 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by 26 proper analysis.

## 

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed August 18, 2005, are adopted in full;
3	and
4	2. Plaintiff's claim in the July 7, 2005, second amended complaint that
5	defendants caused him to fall in the shower is dismissed.
6	DATED: September 19, 2005.
7	
8	/s/Lawrence K. Karlton UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	/reed0936.805
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	